

OAP/ Advisory Committee meeting- March 21st 2023—Meeting Notes

<p>Members present: Mary Kleven, Program Director APH, Southfield/Novi Ken Budnick, Clinical Coordinator, APH Southfield/Novi Lou Bischoff, Director, APH Southfield/Novi Liz Layer, APH Sue Birli, community member</p>		<p>Jennifer Miner, Clinical Instructor, APH</p>
<p>Follow up from previous OAP meeting:</p>	<p>Who</p>	<p>When</p>
<p>Mission Statement was passed out and discussed. Carried over without changes.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick (1) Jen (2)</p>	<p>3/2023</p>
<p>2022 Assessment Plan was passed out and reviewed for the assessment values we have.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick (1) Jen (2)</p>	<p>1/2022</p>
<p>A vote was put out to move the OAP meeting to a later date (March) to allow the program director more time to have a complete document.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick(1) Jen(2)</p>	<p>3/2023</p>
<p>Goals and SLOs were reviewed and carried over.</p>	<p>M.Kleven</p>	
<p>Discussion below:</p>	<p>All</p>	
<p>SLO #6- looking for ideas regarding non-routine situations that could be simulated in lab during procedures class to improve the skill set for students. Email Ken any ideas you may have regarding a difficult patient scenario. Need to develop new tool, but need ideas first.</p>	<p>M.Kleven/K. Budnick</p>	<p>3/2023</p>
<p>SLO #7- image evaluation tool developed. Ken will begin to have monthly evaluations with each student to ensure their ability to critically evaluate is being developed. Will report out concerns at Advisory meeting or next OAP.</p>		
<p>Quantitative benchmarks that support our PED are good and will be carried over.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick(1) Jen (2)</p>	
<p>Overall GPA: 95%. Benchmark met; will continue to use. Clinical GPA: 97%. Benchmark (90%) met. Will continue to use. Attrition: 0%. Benchmark (<40%) met. Registry Results: 100% Benchmark (75%) met. 92% first try.</p>		

<p>Benchmarks for St. Catherine tests(for information they must know) were lowered; students are scoring closer to the benchmark.</p> <p>We will begin to acquire the same data using our own Twelve week finals by the 2022 assessment plan. Specific test questions will be utilized for evaluating the understanding of methods of transmission, anode heel effect, etc. Changes in assessment plan will be seen in the 2022 assessment plan; otherwise overall assessment plan approved and carried over.</p> <p>SLO #3- secondary tool for radiation protection needed for assessment. Badge reporting has consistently stayed at a 100% return, no more assessment/evaluation necessary. Floor opened for discussion. PD suggested using rad protection quiz that is offered at the beginning of program in Intro to rad as a possible tool. Suggestion offered by to evaluate overall dose students are receiving in clinical. No other suggestions offered. Change will be implemented in next AP, with suggestions still being considered.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick(1) Jen (2)</p>	<p>St. Catherine part of 2021 assessment; twelve weeks/finals 2022 assessment</p> <p>ASAP</p> <p>2022 AP</p>
<p>Current Issues:</p>		
<p>Job Placement – 100%. Benchmark (75% in 12 mos.) met. All 2021 graduates found a job prior to graduation. Several students have stayed in X-ray, while others have found positions in advanced modality training programs: CT(5) or MR(4).</p>	<p>M. Kleven Stay the same</p>	<p>Began in April 2020 M. Kleven</p>
<p>2021 class completed all didactic and clinical well within the timeline of the program with no concerns of staying beyond despite losing the 3 months of clinical due to COVID.</p>	<p>M.Kleven</p>	<p>September 202</p>
<p>We have lost a few testers but also have gained a couple as well. Welcome to Sam, Ryley, Lexi, and Kristin. We appreciate your interest in helping the students to grow. We are utilizing the ASRT clinical Instructor Academy to prepare any new preceptors.</p>	<p>M. Kleven</p>	<p>Adv. committee 7/21</p>
<p>Mission statement reviewed and carried over.</p>	<p>Ken Budnick(1) Jen (2)</p>	

<p>One student in the 2021 class did not pass on the first attempt; this is still very good. Discussion with the individual student and evaluation of their initial score made it very clear where their issues were: safety, specifically: interactions. Graduate received tutoring from PD was able to successfully pass on 2nd try. Student described concern regarding disappointing her instructor and not wanting to let them know she was still confused.</p>	<p>M. Kleven/graduate</p>	<p>October 2021/ January 2022</p>
<p>JRCERT interim report was turned in by the deadline of March 16, 2022. Hopefully we will be reviewed at the April meeting and be able to keep our 8 year accreditation.</p> <p>PD will be attending a conference regarding a JRCERT conference as well as the complete AIERS annual meeting in July.</p>	<p>M. KLeven</p>	<p>2022?</p>
<p>No further concerns from the floor were brought. Meeting adjourned.</p>		
<p>Next meeting: July 2022 advisory meeting</p>		